PATENT COOPERATION TREATY

From the INTERNATIONAL PRELIMINARY EXAMIN	NNG AUTHORITY					
To: PAUL L. HICKMAN OPPENHEIMER WOLFF & DONNELLY, LLP 38th FLOOR NOV		EIVED 2 6 2002	PCT			
2029 CENTURY PARK EAST LOS ANGELES, CA 90067-3024	C	DWD	WRITTEN OPINION			
			(PCT Rule 66)			
		Date of Mailing (day/month/year)	20 NOV 2002			
Applicant's or agent's file reference		REPLY DUE				
AND1P366.P		within 1 months/days from the above date of mailing				
International application No. Inter	rnational filing date (d	lay/month/year)	Priority date (day/month/year)			
PCT/US00/32228 22 N	November 2000 (22.11	1.2000)	22 November 1999 (22.11.1999)			
International Patent Classification (IPC) or bot	h national classification	on and IPC				
IPC(7): G06F 17/60 and US Cl.: 705/7 Applicant						
ACCENTURE LLP						
IV Lack of unity of invention V Reasoned statement undicitations and explanation VI Certain documents cited VII Certain defects in the integral of the control of the co	elating to the following pinion with regard to non er Rule 66.2 (a)(ii) with supporting such state ternational application the international application	g items: novelty, inventive s ith regard to novelt tement	tep and industrial applicability y, inventive step or industrial applicability:			
	approant is necessy invited to reply to this opinion.					
this Authority to gra	When? See the time limit indicated above. The applicant may, before the expiration of that time limit, request this Authority to grant an extension. See rule 66.2(d).					
How? By submitting a writ						
Also For an additional opportunity to submit amendments, see Rule 66.4. For the examiner's obligation to consider amendments and/or arguments, see Rule 66.4 bis. For an informal communication with the examiner, see Rule 66.6						
If no reply is filed, the international preliminary examination report will be established on the basis of this opinion.						
4. The final date by which the international preliminary examination report must be established according to Rule 69.2 is: 22 March 2002 (22.03.2002)						
Name and mailing address of the IPEA/US Commissioner of Patents and Trademarks Box PCT Washington, D.C. 20231		Dave Robertson / Luid / /				
Facsimile No. (703)305-3230		Telephone No. (703) 305-3900 / 3				

Form PCT/IPEA/408 (cover sheet)(July 1998)

WRITTEN OPINION

Internazional application No.

PCT/US00/32228

I. Basis of the opinion				
1. With regard to the elements of the international application:*				
the international application as originally filed				
the description:				
pages 1-466, as originally filed				
pages NONE, filed with the demand				
pages NONE , filed with the letter of				
the claims:				
pages 467-473 , as originally filed				
pages NONE, as amended (together with any statement) under Article 19				
pages NONE , filed with the demand pages NONE , filed with the letter of				
the drawings:				
pages 1-130 , as originally filed pages NONE , filed with the demand				
pages NONE , filed with the letter of				
the sequence listing part of the description:				
pages NONE, as originally filed pages NONE, filed with the demand				
pages NONE , filed with the letter of				
 With regard to the language, all the elements marked above were available or furnished to this Authority in the language in which the international application was filed, unless otherwise indicated under this item. These elements were available or furnished to this Authority in the following language which is the language of a translation furnished for the purposes of international search (under Rule23.1(b)) the language of publication of the international application (under Rule 48.3(b)) the language of the translation furnished for the purposes of international preliminary examination(under Rules 55.2 and/or 55.3). With regard to any nucleotide and/or amino acid sequence disclosed in the international application, the written opinion was drawn on the basis of the sequence listing: contained in the international application in printed form filed together with the international application in computer readable form furnished subsequently to this Authority in written form furnished subsequently to this Authority in computer readable form the statement that the subsequently furnished written sequence listing does not go beyond the disclosure in the international application as filed has been furnished The statement that the information recorded in computer readable form is identical to the written sequence listing the base formitted the formitted in the information recorded in computer readable form is identical to the written sequence listing				
has been furnished. 4. The amendments have resulted in the cancellation of:				
the description, pages NONE				
the claims, Nos. NONE				
the drawings, sheets/fig NONE				
5. This opinion has been drawn as if (some of) the amendments had not been made, since they have been considered to go beyond the disclosure as filed, as indicated in the Supplemental Box (Rule 70.2(c)).				
* Replacement sheets which have been furnished to the receiving Office in response to an invitation under Article 14 are referred to in this opinion as "originally filed."				

Form PCT/IPEA/408 (Box I) (July 1998)

WR	דדו	EN	ODI	NIT	A 1

International application No. PCT/US00/32228

Supp	lemental	Box

(To be used when the space in any of the preceding boxes is not sufficient)

TIME LIMIT:

The time limit set for response to a Written Opinion may not be extended. 37 CFR 1.484(d). Any response received after the expiration of the time limit set in the Written Opinion will not be considered in preparing the International Preliminary Examination Report.